

Credit Opinion: Fingrid Oyj

Global Credit Research - 14 Dec 2012

Helsinki, Finland

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating -Dom Curr	A1
Senior Unsecured	A1
Commercial Paper	P-1
Other Short Term -Dom Curr	(P)P-1

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Paul Lund/London	44.20.7772.5454
Joanna Fic/London	
Monica Merli/London	

Key Indicators

[1]Fingrid Oyj	12/31/2011	12/31/2010	12/31/2009	12/31/2008
(FFO + Interest) / Interest Expense	4.3x	6.0x	4.5x	4.0x
Net Debt / Fixed Assets	73.4%	70.2%	67.5%	66.3%
FFO / Net Debt	9.7%	13.4%	11.1%	15.6%
RCF / CAPEX	0.4x	0.8x	0.6x	1.2x

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics TM

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying [User's Guide](#).

Opinion

Corporate Profile

Fingrid Oyj ("Fingrid", rated A1/P-1, stable outlook) is the transmission system operator and owner of Finland's high voltage electricity assets and interconnectors. It also owns a 19.18% stake in Nord Pool Spot AS, the Nordic electricity exchange.

Fingrid was established in 1997 out of the transmission assets of the electric utilities IVO, (now 50.76% state-owned Fortum Power and Heat Oy (Fortum; rated A2/stable outlook), Finland's largest utility), and Pohjolan Voima Oy (PVO). The Finnish state owns 53.1% of Fingrid, and has 70.9% of the voting rights.

Recent Developments

In order to comply with EU's directive requiring transmission system companies to unbundle from electricity generating and selling companies by March 2012, the Finnish based unregulated utilities, Fortum Power and Heat Oy and Pohjolan Voima Oy sold their shareholdings in Fingrid to the State of Finland and Mutual Pension Insurance

Company Ilmarinen. The holding of the State of Finland in Fingrid increased to a direct ownership of 53.1%, with the Finnish investment company, Ilmarinen, owning 19.9%. The other shareholders, which are mainly Finnish pension funds and insurance companies, hold 27% between them.

The change in ownership gives the Finnish government a direct majority ownership - Moody's notes that the increase in ownership confirms the strong link between the state and Fingrid. However, we have not revised our view of the expected levels of support from the government, but note the change in strategy resulting from the change in ownership, which we expect will result in higher levels of cash flow at the operational level. We expect dividends to rise significantly over time, however.

In order to fully cover increased costs and extensive capital investments Fingrid is increasing grid tariffs by a further 15% for the year starting January 2013, representing a total tariff increase of nearly 50% for 2012 and 2013 combined. Moody's expects that Fingrid will further increase its tariff levels over the coming years until it meets the regulatory allowed returns, which is a positive credit factor given the high levels of investment that Fingrid is currently undertaking. Fingrid has historically not charged the full regulatory allowed tariffs.

Rating Rationale

Fingrid's A1 issuer rating is underpinned by the low business risk profile of its regulated electricity transmission network operations, supported by a well-established, stable and transparent regulatory framework.

Further, it considers company's sizeable investment programme which is expected to achieve over EUR1.3 billion over the period of next 8 years (2013-2020). We expect that the company's annual capital investments will range in between EUR100-150 million in 2014. Based on these investments, Fingrid's long-term financial profile is expected to converge towards FFO Interest Coverage of over 4x, FFO/Net Debt of about 14% and RCF/Net Debt of at least 9%-10%, which would support the current rating.

Fingrid's A1 rating incorporates a one-notch uplift as a result of (i) the credit quality of the company's majority shareholder; and (ii) our assessment that there is a moderate probability of government support in the event of financial distress, as well as a very high level of default dependence.

Rating Drivers

Given Fingrid's direct ownership by the Finnish state, the company falls within the scope of Moody's rating methodology for Government-Related Issuers ("GRIs"), which was updated in July 2010. The company's A1 rating reflects the combination of the following components:

- Credit risk profile of Fingrid on a stand-alone basis, known as the Baseline Credit Assessment ("BCA"), of a2. Fingrid's BCA mainly reflects the company's status as Finland's sole TSO operating in a well established regulatory framework and the sizeable investment programme foreseen for the period 2013-20, which is expected to impact the company's financial profile;
- Aaa local currency rating of the Finnish government;
- Very high dependence, reflecting the domestic nature of Fingrid's activities and its revenue sources;
- Moderate support, reflecting Fingrid's strategic importance as owner and operator of key infrastructure. The state holds 70.9% of voting rights through its ownership and is involved in the supervision of Fingrid. However, Moody's believes that the strongly non-interventionist Finnish government would hesitate to support state-owned companies except in the most extreme of circumstances. In Moody's view, the government would expect Fingrid in the first instance to seek to raise user tariffs to meet emergency requirements.

As a result of these parameters, Fingrid's A1 rating incorporates a one-notch uplift from its stand-alone credit quality for potential government support under Moody's GRI methodology.

When assessing Fingrid's BCA, Moody's applies its Rating Methodology for Regulated Electric and Gas Networks, published in August 2009. The methodology examines the core factors that Moody's considers most relevant to electric and gas network operators, sets out the range of possible outcomes by factor and maps these to a rating range. Each factor is appropriately weighted and in combination contributes to the rating output by the methodology grid. Measurements are quantitative where an appropriate metric is defined. However, for some sub-factors, qualitative judgment is necessary to determine the appropriate category. For illustrative purposes, the methodology relies upon historical financial results, while ratings incorporate Moody's expectations for future performance. The suggested output under the methodology grid for Fingrid is a2, as summarised in the table below and based on the

2008-11 average key credit metrics. The methodology grid output is in line with the company's BCA.

FACTOR 1: REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT AND ASSET OWNERSHIP MODEL

(a) Stability and Predictability of Regulatory Regime

Score: Aa

The regulatory framework in Finland is considered stable, transparent and supportive of credit quality. The TSO regulation mechanism is administered by the Finnish Energy regulator, EnergiaMarkkinaVirasto ("EMV" or "Energy Market Authority"), which falls under the Ministry of Employment and the Economy and which administers TSOs regulation mechanism over four-year regulatory periods. The third regulatory period runs from 1 January 2012 and ends on 31 December 2015. Prior to each regulatory period, the EMV sets, ex ante, the methodology to be used in determining transmission rates of return. The methodology is based on the principle that the TSO should earn a reasonable return on capital that over the long-term is equal to its WACC.

After each regulatory period, the EMV officially confirms the amount of any accrued earnings that exceed or fall short of reasonable earnings for the regulatory period. In calculating the reasonable return, the EMV makes several adjustments to earnings and to the capital invested in network operations by the TSO. To the extent that a TSO has either accumulated a surplus or deficit during the previous regulatory period, tariffs for the subsequent regulatory period may be adjusted to compensate either the TSO or its customers. The right to adjust for a deficit accrued during a regulatory period is only valid during the subsequent regulatory period.

In October of each year, the EMV calculates TSO's reasonable return, adjusted actual profit, and amount of distributable profit and communicates these to the TSO. One of the benefits of the ex ante regulation is the TSOs have accurate estimates of all the parameters needed to determine the reasonable return prior to the period in question and can track their actual return on a quarterly basis. The largest variations in annual costs relates to repair costs which are driven largely by weather during the year, particularly relating to snow conditions and storms, both of which can damage power lines. In these cases, Fingrid is likely to have a delay of over a year before costs can be recovered.

(b) Asset Ownership Model

Score: Aa

In its role as Finnish Transmission System Operator ("TSO"), Fingrid owns the transmission grid assets, with operations subject to a licence granted by the regulator, EMV. Moody's understands that the licence, and the associated right to operate the network, could potentially be terminated under specific circumstances, namely: (i) if Fingrid ceases its grid operations, (ii) if Fingrid does no longer fulfil the obligations related to the licence and (iii) if Fingrid repeatedly and substantially breaks the Electricity Market Act or rules and regulations which it governs. In this context, Moody's notes Fingrid's excellent operational track record on this front, as further discussed below.

(c) Cost and Investment Recovery

Score: A

Under the flexible Finnish regulatory framework, Fingrid is allowed to cover its costs over time and realise a reasonable post-tax return on its Regulatory Capital ("RC"), with annual tariff increases implemented by the company, without the requirement for formal approval by the regulator. Fingrid increased tariffs to bring its return in line with the regulatory allowed profit during the current regulatory period (2012 to 2015).

Moody's notes that, under the current tariff formula, only approximately 20% of Fingrid's costs are subject to efficiency requirements. Operating and investment costs are estimated in advance, based on the preceding period, but including an annual efficiency target, which incorporates a +/-5% error margin to reduce the uncertainty that the operating cost levels have been set correctly. Cumulative over- or under-recovery of allowed profits during the 2012-15 period will be adjusted in the 2016-19 period. The allowed profit also includes an incentive to improve quality and a small premium for research and development.

Realised returns from tariff increases implemented by the company can be higher or lower than allowed regulatory returns on an annual as well as a cumulative basis during the relevant period. However, any cumulative difference, either positive or negative, between the realised and allowed returns should be adjusted during the next regulatory period. For the current regulatory period 2012-15, Fingrid is allowed a nominal post-tax return of, on average, approximately 4.4% on its RC reflecting the relatively low debt costs. Fingrid's allowed return is modelled on

leveraging of 60% debt to total capital.

Fingrid is also party to the Main Grid Agreement (known as "MGA"), under which tariff policy for the relevant period is agreed with the grid users. Fingrid's tariffs are very low when compared to other European electricity grid companies. However, Moody's notes that Fingrid's increased the average level of tariff by 30% for 2012, to help accommodate the company's significant investment programme and to increase its overall return on assets, which is supportive to Fingrid's credit quality.

(d) Revenue Risk

Score: Aa

Revenue variations are linked to the volumes of electricity passing through the system each year, and subsequently revenue risk is very low, as a result of relatively small swings in volume year on year, and the ability to recover deficits in the following year. Expected electricity transmission losses are hedged forward. Annual variations in electricity are relatively limited, and tariffs can be adjusted over time to maintain the allowed levels of profit.

FACTOR 2: EFFICIENCY AND EXECUTION RISK

(a) Cost Efficiency

Score: A

Fingrid's tariffs remain relatively low on the European scale and the lowest compared to other Nordic countries. According to the European benchmarking of the cost efficiency of electricity transmission system operators for the Council of European Energy Regulators (CEER), Fingrid demonstrated excellent efficiency of operations and quality of services.

However, over time the capital intensive nature of the transmission business, characterized by opex and capex growth is expected to challenge the ability of Fingrid to maintain its low cost base. The growing share of system operation costs increasingly reflects the economic consequences of the deregulated market. Whilst congestion, ancillary and balancing markets represent important revenue items for Fingrid, and power reserves constitute a high cost and investment driver, the cost pass through nature of these services supports Fingrid being scored at single-A in line with its peers.

(b) Scale and Complexity of Capital Programme

Score: Ba

Fingrid's identified capex programme for the period 2013-20 totals nearly EUR1.1 billion (between EUR100 - 200 million per annum), mainly relating to grid reinforcements, international interconnections and increase in reserve capacity. The sizeable plan represents a considerable strengthening of the grid and its balancing requirements, and demonstrates the need for the substantial tariff increase. In assessing the complexity of such investment plans, Moody's compares the size of Fingrid's annual capex with its asset base. In Fingrid's case, whilst the Regulatory Asset Base is considered by the regulator, this is not publicly available and disclosed. Therefore, Moody's refers to net tangible fixed assets as a proxy for this measure (EUR1.4 billion as of YE 2011), with projected annual capex over the next year representing close to 13% of the company's asset base. The general complexity and execution risk associated with such a large investment plan combined with the relatively weak ratio result in the Ba positioning under this rating category.

FACTOR 3: STABILITY OF BUSINESS MODEL AND FINANCIAL STRUCTURE

(a) Ability and willingness to Pursue Opportunistic Corporate Activity

Score: A

Fingrid has a strong track record of no material corporate activity (ie M&A, disposals and investments) which supports the company's positioning in the A category under this factor. Nevertheless, Moody's notes that there are no specific restrictions limiting management's discretion to potentially pursue significant corporate activity included either in the licence, the company's by-laws, financing and debt documentation, or any other binding agreement.

(b) Ability and Willingness to Increase Leverage

Score: Baa

Fingrid's financing and debt documentation does not include restrictive financial covenants which would limit a potential material increase in leverage and specifically protect creditors. Fingrid's requirement to increase debt is based on its forecast capital expenditure, which has seen an increase in overall levels of debt. However, the overall levels of leverage are expected to fall despite the high levels of capex, as increased cash flow will offset the amount of debt increase required to fund the capex.

(c) Targeted Proportion of Operating Profit Outside of the Core Regulated Business

Score: Aa

Fingrid is not specifically subject to contractual, statutory or regulatory restrictions prohibiting investments outside the electricity transmission business. Currently, Fingrid retains very limited unregulated operations, mainly consisting of the company's 18.8% stake in Nord Pool Spot AS, the Nordic Power Exchange for trade in physical power contracts. Moody's notes that this business is somewhat complementary to the core regulated electricity transmission activity, which generates virtually all Fingrid's operating profit.

FACTOR 4: KEY CREDIT METRICS

Moody's expects Fingrid's key credit metrics to improve considerably during the current regulatory period, as revenues are expected to grow somewhat faster than in the past, supported by the significant growth in RAB due to the large investment programme.

Going forward, Fingrid's significant EUR1.1 billion investment plan until 2020 will be a major driver of Fingrid's financial profile. Moody's expects that Fingrid will continue to raise additional debt to fund the investment programme and the expected increase in dividend payments.

Moody's expects that there will be an improvement in Fingrid's headline financial ratios, resulting from higher revenues. Overall, however, we expect the increase in operating cash flow to be offset by increasing dividend payments over time. We expect FFO Interest Coverage to rise to over 4x, FFO/Net Debt in the low teens, and rising to about 14% and RCF/Net Debt of at least 9%-10% to support the current ratings. In comparison with a number of peer transmission grids in Europe, ratios guidance for Fingrid is relatively low in the context of the company's rating positioning. In its assessment, Moody's factors in the company's long and conservative track record.

The change in shareholders means that the owners are no longer Fingrid's primary customers, and Fingrid financial policy has therefore changed. Fingrid no longer has a strong incentive to keep tariffs very low, and tariffs are expected to rise to the level allowed by regulation by 2014. The higher levels of cash flow generation, even after the large capex amounts, are expected to result in a much higher level of dividend payment going forward, which have historically been about EUR7 million per annum.

Liquidity

Fingrid has adequate liquidity, given the expectation that Fingrid will have negative FCF for 2012, due to its large capital expenditure programme. The liquidity position of the company is supported by (i) Fingrid's predictable operating cash flow; (ii) significant cash liquidity balances of EUR200 million; and (iii) undrawn committed back up RCF amounting EUR250 million (expires 2016 with two extensions possible).

Fingrid has a clear financial policy requiring the company to fully cover the upcoming 12 months funding needs by means of liquid assets and available long-term committed credit lines. At the same time, the refinancing need each year may not account for more than 45% of the total amount of the company's debt financing.

For 3Q 2012, Fingrid reported operating cash flow of EUR123 million and gross debt of EUR1.3 billion of which EUR1.1 billion was long-term and EUR194 million was short term borrowings. Principal cash calls anticipated during the period Q4 2012-Q3 2013 include approximately EUR160 million in debt repayments and extensive capex, estimated to be in the region of around EUR200 million.

Despite the expected improvement in company's cash flow generation resulting from the 30% increase in tariffs in 2012, we anticipate that Fingrid will experience negative FCF position in the near to medium term resulting from its extensive investment needs and newly introduced dividend policy. Fingrid traditionally funds requirements through its debt issuance programmes. Over the past 12 month Fingrid issued SEK1 billion 5-year bond and EUR300 million 12-year bond. The company operates in the international capital markets and can issue bonds under the

Medium Term Note Programme of EUR1.5 billion, and two Commercial Paper Programmes of totalling EUR750 million.

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Moody's expectation that Fingrid's financial profile will improve from its current level, helped by increased levels of cash flow resulting from higher tariffs and returns that the company will claim.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

In view of the significant ongoing investment programme and progressive increase in return, Moody's does not expect any positive pressure on Fingrid's rating in the near term.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Moody's could downgrade the rating in the event that Fingrid's FFO/interest coverage and FFO/debt ratios were to fail to meet the rating agency's expectations, falling below 4x and not reaching the mid-teens in percentage terms, respectively, during the upcoming regulatory period. Moody's notes that the single notch of uplift to the BCA under its GRI methodology would be unlikely to be reduced in the event of a limited fall in the ratings of the government of Finland.

Rating Factors

Fingrid Oyj

Regulated Electric and Gas Networks [1][2]	Aaa	Aa	A	Baa	Ba	B
Factor 1: Regulatory Environment & Asset Ownership Model (40%)						
a) Stability and Predictability of Regulatory Regime		X				
b) Asset Ownership Model		X				
c) Cost and Investment Recovery			X			
d) Revenue Risk		X				
Factor 2: Efficiency & Execution Risk (10%)						
a) Cost Efficiency			X			
b) Scale and Complexity of Capital Programme					X	
Factor 3: Stability of Business Model & Financial Structure (10%)						
a) Ability and Willingness to Pursue Opportunistic Corporate Activity			X			
b) Ability and Willingness to Increase Leverage				X		
c) Targeted Proportion of Operating Profit Outside Core Regulated Activities		X				
Factor 4: Key Credit Metrics (40%)						
a) (FFO + Interest) / Interest Expense (3-Year Average)			4.9x			
b) Net Debt / Fixed Assets (3 Year Avg)				70.6%		
c) FFO / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)				11.3%		
d) RCF / CAPEX (3 Year Avg)					0.6x	
Rating:						
a) Indicated BCA from Grid			a3			
b) Actual BCA Assigned			a2			

Government-Related Issuer	Factor
a) Baseline Credit Assessment	a2
b) Government Local Currency Rating	Aaa
c) Default Dependence	very high
d) Support	moderate

[1] All ratios are calculated using Moody's Standard Adjustments. [2] As of 12/31/2011; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics



© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.